



In the long history of the world, only a few generations have been granted the role of defending freedom in its hour of maximum danger. I do not shrink from this responsibility. I welcome it. — John F. Kennedy, Inaugural Address Jan. 20, 1961

Click one of the following boxes to select a section

220+ Senior Military, Intelligence Service, Law Enforcement, and Government Officials
<u>1,500+ Engineers and Architects</u>
<u>250+ Pilots and Aviation Professionals</u>
<u>400+ Professors Question 9/11</u>
<u>300+ 9/11 Survivors and Family Members</u>
<u>200+ Artists, Entertainers, and Media Professionals</u>
<u>400+ Medical Professionals</u>

[ABOUT](#)

Alphabetized List of the 220+ Senior Military Officers and Government Officials on this Page

[ARTICLES](#) [LINKS](#)

Recent Additions to This Page

(Please also check the other six pages.)

Steve R. Pieczenik, MD, PhD

Deputy Assistant Secretary of State under President Nixon, Ford and Carter
Added July 17, 2011

Commander James Clow

U.S. Coast Guard (ret)
Former Chief, National Response Center
U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters
Added December 27, 2010

Roland Dumas

Former Foreign Minister of France
Added December 23, 2010

Major Albert M. Meyer, MHA

U.S. Air Force (ret)
Added December 15, 2010

Commander James R. Compton

U.S. Navy (ret)
Added November 1, 2010

Rev. William Sloane Coffin, Jr.

Captain, U.S. Army Intelligence, World War II
Former CIA Case Officer
Added October 18, 2010

Michael Scheuer, PhD

Former Chief of the CIA's bin Laden unit
Added October 11, 2010

Ramsey Clark, MA, JD

66th U.S. Attorney General
Added September 29, 2010

IMPORTANT ARTICLE

41 U.S. Counter-Terrorism and Intelligence Agency Veterans

Challenge the Official Account of 9/11 – Official Account of 9/11:

“Terribly Flawed,” “Laced with Contradictions,” “a Joke,” “a Cover-up”

[English](#), [Danish](#), [French](#), [German](#), [Greek](#), [Norwegian](#), [Polish](#), [Swedish](#)

Senior Military, Intelligence, Law Enforcement, and Government Officials Question the 9/11 Commission Report

Many well known and respected senior U.S. military officers, intelligence services and law enforcement veterans, and government officials have expressed significant criticism of the 9/11 Commission Report or have made public statements that contradict the Report. Several even allege government complicity in the terrible acts of 9/11.

This page of the website is a collection of their statements. The website does not represent any organization and it should be made clear that none of these individuals are affiliated with this website.

Listed below are statements by more than 220 of these senior officials. Their collective voices give credibility to the claim that the 9/11 Commission Report is tragically flawed. These individuals cannot be simply dismissed as irresponsible believers in some 9/11 conspiracy theory. Their sincere concern, backed by their decades of service to their country, demonstrate that criticism of the Report is not irresponsible, illogical, nor disloyal, per se. In fact, it can be just the opposite. [\(continued below\)](#)

[Contact Your Local 9/11 Truth Group Listed Here!](#)

[Demand a New 9/11 Investigation!](#)

Gov. Walter Peterson

81st Governor
State of New Hampshire
Added May 29, 2010

U.S. MILITARY OFFICERS

**Major General Albert
Stubblebine**

Major General Albert Stubblebine, U.S. Army (ret) – Former Commanding General of U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command, 1981 - 1984, commanding 15,000 intelligence and security personnel. Also commanded the U.S. Army's Electronic Research and Development Command and the U.S. Army's Intelligence School and Center. Former head of Imagery Interpretation for Scientific and Technical Intelligence. 32-year Army career.

Member, [Military Intelligence Hall of Fame](#).

- **Video interview 6/28/09:**

General Stubblebine: I am Major General Albert Stubblebine. I am retired Army Major-General. In my last assignment -- my last command -- I was responsible for all of the Army's strategic intelligence forces around the world. I had responsibility for the Signals Intelligence, Photo Intelligence, Counter Intelligence, Human Intelligence. They all belonged to me, in my last assignment. ...

I was supposed to find out what the enemy was doing, before the enemy did it so that we could take action against the enemy. That's Intelligence, OK, before the fact. So, we always -- always -- rely not on a single piece of data, before we make a statement, but on multiple and the more pieces of data that you have that correlate, the better you know exactly what is going on. ...

So I have had a lot of experience looking at photographs. I have looked at many, many different kinds of photographs, from many, many different platforms on many, many different countries, around the world.

Interviewer: OK. So on September the 11th, in 2001, what hit the Pentagon?

General Stubblebine: I don't know exactly what hit it, but I do know, from the photographs that I have analyzed and looked at very, very carefully, it was not an airplane.

Interviewer: What made you believe that?

General Stubblebine: Well, for one thing, if you look at the hole that was made in the Pentagon, the nose penetrated far enough so that there should have been wing marks on the walls of the Pentagon. I have been unable to find those wing marks. So where were they? Did this vessel -- vehicle, or whatever it was -- have wings? Apparently not, because if it had had wings, they would have made marks on the side of the Pentagon.

One person counteracted my theory, and said, "Oh, you've got it all wrong. And the reason that it's wrong is that as the airplane came across, one wing tipped down and hit the ground and broke off." I said, "Fine, that's possible, one wing could

have broken off." But if I understand airplanes correctly, most airplanes have two wings. I haven't met an airplane with only one wing. So where was the mark for the second wing? OK, one broke off -- there should have been a mark for the second wing. I could not find that in any of the photographs that I've analyzed. Now I've been very careful to not say what went in there. Why? Because you don't have that evidence. ...

I did -- I've never believed that it was an airplane since I've looked at the photographs. Up until the time I looked at the photographs, I accepted what was being said. After I looked at it -- NO WAY! ...

We pride ourselves with the "free press." I do not believe the "free press" is free any more. It's very expensive. It's very expensive. And the press is saying what they have been told to say about this.

Now, do I have proof of that? No. But I believe that what is being -- what certainly the -- the stories that were told -- all about 9/11 were false. I mean, you take a look at the buildings falling down. They didn't fall down because airplanes hit them. They fell down because of explosives went off inside. Demolition. Look at Building 7, for God's sake. It didn't fall down to its side. It didn't fall to this direction or that direction; just like the two Towers. ...

When you look at the temperatures that you can create with fuel in a gas tank or a fuel tank of an airplane, and then you investigate the amount of heat that would be required to melt -- to melt -- the superstructure of the buildings that came tumbling down, when you put all of that together, the one thing that shows; It does not match the facts. What is it they do not want the public to know? http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=daNr_TrBw6E

- **Video documentary *One Nation Under Siege 7/11/06*:** "One of my experiences in the Army was being in charge of the Army's Imagery Interpretation for Scientific and Technical Intelligence during the Cold War. I measured pieces of Soviet equipment from photographs. It was my job. I look at the hole in the Pentagon and I look at the size of an airplane that was supposed to have hit the Pentagon. And I said, 'The plane does not fit in that hole'. So what did hit the Pentagon? What hit it? Where is it? What's going on?"
<http://www.undersiegemovie.com>

- **Editor's note:** For more information on the impact at the Pentagon, see [Colonel Nelson](#), [Commander Muga](#), [Lt. Col. Kwiatkowski](#), [Lt. Col. Latas](#), [Major Rokke](#), [Capt. Wittenberg](#), [Capt. Davis](#), [Barbara Honegger](#), [April Gallop](#), [Colonel Bunel](#), and [Steve DeChiaro](#).

- **Bio:** <http://web.archive.org>

General Wesley Clark, U.S. Army (ret) – Former Commanding General of U.S. European Command, which included all American military activities in the 89 countries and territories of Europe, Africa, and the Middle East. Additionally, Supreme Allied Commander Europe (SACEUR), which granted him overall command of NATO military forces in Europe 1997 - 2001. Awarded Bronze Star, Silver



General Wesley Clark

Star, and Purple Heart for his service in Viet Nam and numerous subsequent medals and citations. Graduated valedictorian of his class at West Point.

- **Video interview ABC's *This Week with George Stephanopoulos* 3/5/06:** "I think when you look at this country, right now, we need a 2-party system that works. We need Congress to do its job. We need real investigation of some of the abuses of authority that are apparently going on at the Executive branch. ... We've never finished the investigation of 9/11 and whether the administration actually misused the intelligence information it had. The evidence seems pretty clear to me. I've seen that for a long time."
<http://securingamerica.com/node/692>
- **Bio:** http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wesley_Clark



Col. Ronald D. Ray

Col. Ronald D. Ray, U.S. Marine Corps (ret) – Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense during the Reagan Administration and a highly decorated Vietnam veteran (two Silver Stars, a Bronze Star and a Purple Heart). Appointed by President George H.W. Bush to serve on the American Battle Monuments Commission (1990 - 1994), and on the 1992 Presidential Commission on the Assignment of Women in the Armed Forces. Military Historian and Deputy Director of Field Operations for the U.S. Marine Corps Historical Center, Washington, D.C. 1990 - 1994.

- **Article 7/1/06:** "The former Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense under the Reagan Administration and a highly decorated Vietnam veteran and Colonel has gone on the record to voice his doubts about the official story of 9/11 - calling it 'the dog that doesn't hunt.' 'I'm astounded that the conspiracy theory advanced by the administration could in fact be true and the evidence does not seem to suggest that's accurate,' he said." <http://www.propagandamatrix.com>

The specific quote follows. A subscription is required to access the [audio recording](#).

Alex Jones: Colonel, is it safe to say or is the statement accurate that you smell something rotten in the state of Denmark when it comes to 9/11?

Col. Ray: I'm astounded that the conspiracy theory advanced by the administration could in fact be true and the evidence does not seem to suggest that that's accurate. That's true.

- **Bio:** <http://www.firstprinciplespress.org>

Capt. Edgar Mitchell, U.S. Navy (ret), BS Industrial Management, BS Aeronautical Engineering, Doctor of Science, Aeronautics and Astronautics from MIT – Pilot and Astronaut. Sixth man to walk on the moon (Apollo 14 mission). Patrol bomber and attack plane pilot, U.S. Navy. Test Pilot, Air Test and Evaluation Squadron 5 (VX-5). Chief of Project Management Division, Navy Field Office for the Manned Orbiting Laboratory Project. Graduated first in his class from the Aerospace Research Pilot School, and served as an instructor there. Recipient of many awards and honors including the



Capt. Edgar Mitchell, DSc

Presidential Medal of Freedom, the USN Distinguished Medal and three NASA Group Achievement Awards. Inducted to the Space Hall of Fame in 1979 and the Astronaut Hall of Fame in 1998. Recipient of honorary doctorates in engineering from New Mexico State University, the University of Akron, Carnegie Mellon University, and a ScD from Embry-Riddle University. Founder of the [Institute of Noetic Sciences](#).

- **Endorser of and proposed Commissioner of a New Investigation into 9/11 as described in the [New York City Ballot Initiative](#) 11/08:** "Petition to Create a NYC Independent Commission with Subpoena Power to Conduct a Comprehensive and Fact-Driven Investigation of All Relevant Aspects of the Tragic Events of September 11, 2001 and Issue a Report.

WHEREAS, many New York City voters believe that there remain many unanswered questions critical to establishing the truth about all relevant events leading up to, during and subsequent to the tragic attacks occurring on September 11, 2001 ("9/11"), and

WHEREAS, no prior investigation by any New York City agency or any other governmental entity has resulted in the citizens being provided with those critical answers or information necessary to establish the truth about those tragic events, ...

An independent, temporary New York City commission (the "Commission") is hereby created to conduct a comprehensive, factdriven investigation into the events that took place on 9/11, as well as to thoroughly examine related events before and after the attacks, including any activities attempting to hide, cover up, impede or obstruct any investigation into these 9/11 events, following wherever the facts may lead. The Commission shall publish one or more reports of their findings."

- **Website:** <http://www.edmitchellapollo14.com>



Lt. Col. Robert Bowman, PhD

Lt. Col. Robert Bowman, PhD, U.S. Air Force (ret) – Director of Advanced Space Programs Development under Presidents Ford and Carter. U.S. Air Force fighter pilot with over 100 combat missions. (PhD in Aeronautics and Nuclear Engineering, Cal Tech). Former Head of the Department of Aeronautical Engineering and Assistant Dean at the U.S. Air Force Institute of Technology. 22-year Air Force career. Also taught Mathematics and English at the University of Southern California, the University of Maryland, and Phillips University.

- **Member:** [Political Leaders for 9/11 Truth](#) Association Statement:

"Scholars and professionals with various kinds of expertise---including architects, engineers, firefighters, intelligence officers, lawyers, medical professionals, military officers, philosophers, religious leaders, physical scientists, and pilots---have spoken out about radical discrepancies between the official account of the 9/11 attacks and what they, as independent researchers, have learned.

They have established beyond any reasonable doubt that the official account of 9/11 is false and that, therefore, the official "investigations" have really been cover-up operations.

Thus far, however, there has been no response from political

leaders in Washington or, for that matter, in other capitals around the world. Our organization, Political Leaders for 9/11 Truth, has been formed to help bring about such a response.

We believe that the truth about 9/11 needs to be exposed now---not in 50 years as a footnote in the history books---so the policies that have been based on the Bush-Cheney administration's interpretation of the 9/11 attacks can be changed.

We are, therefore, calling for a new, independent investigation of 9/11 that takes account of evidence that has been documented by independent researchers but thus far ignored by governments and the mainstream media."

- **Video 9/11/04:** "A lot of these pieces of information, taken together, prove that the official story, the official conspiracy theory of 9/11 is a bunch of hogwash. It's impossible. ... There's a second group of facts having to do with the cover up. ... Taken together these things prove that high levels of our government don't want us to know what happened and who's responsible....

Who gained from 9/11? Who covered up crucial information about 9/11? And who put out the patently false stories about 9/11 in the first place? When you take those three things together, I think the case is pretty clear that it's highly placed individuals in the administration with all roads passing through Dick Cheney.

I think the very kindest thing that we can say about George W. Bush and all the people in the U.S. Government that have been involved in this massive cover-up, the very kindest thing we can say is that they were aware of impending attacks and let them happen. Now some people will say that's much too kind, however even that is high treason and conspiracy to commit murder." <http://video.go>

- **Signatory:** Petition requesting a reinvestigation of 9/11: "We want truthful answers to question. ... As Americans of conscience, we ask for four things:
 - An immediate investigation by New York Attorney General Eliot Spitzer
 - Immediate investigation in Congressional Hearings.
 - Media attention to scrutinize and investigate the evidence.
 - The formation of a truly independent citizens-based inquiry." <http://www.911truth.org/article>
- **Member:** [Pilots for 9/11 Truth](#) Association Statement: "Pilots for 9/11 Truth is an organization of aviation professionals and pilots throughout the globe that have gathered together for one purpose. We are committed to seeking the truth surrounding the events of the 11th of September 2001. Our main focus concentrates on the four flights, maneuvers performed and the reported pilots. We do not offer theory or point blame. However, we are focused on determining the truth of that fateful day since the United States Government doesn't seem to be very forthcoming with answers."
- **Website:** <http://www.thepatriots.us>



Col. George Nelson, MBA

Col. George Nelson, MBA, U.S. Air Force (ret) – Former U.S. Air Force aircraft accident investigator and airplane parts authority. Graduate, U.S. Air Force War College. 34-year Air Force career.

Licensed commercial pilot. Licensed airframe and powerplant mechanic.

- **Essay:** "In all my years of direct and indirect participation, I never witnessed nor even heard of an aircraft loss, where the wreckage was accessible, that prevented investigators from finding enough hard evidence to positively identify the make, model, and specific registration number of the aircraft -- and in most cases the precise cause of the accident. ...

The government alleges that four wide-body airliners crashed on the morning of September 11 2001, resulting in the deaths of more than 3,000 human beings, yet not one piece of hard aircraft evidence has been produced in an attempt to positively identify any of the four aircraft. On the contrary, it seems only that all potential evidence was deliberately kept hidden from public view. ...

With all the evidence readily available at the Pentagon crash site, any unbiased rational investigator could only conclude that a Boeing 757 did not fly into the Pentagon as alleged. Similarly, with all the evidence available at the Pennsylvania crash site, it was most doubtful that a passenger airliner caused the obvious hole in the ground and certainly not the Boeing 757 as alleged. ...

As painful and heartbreaking as was the loss of innocent lives and the lingering health problems of thousands more, a most troublesome and nightmarish probability remains that so many Americans appear to be involved in the most heinous conspiracy in our country's history." <http://www.physics911.net>

- **Editor's note:** For more information on the impact at the Pentagon, see [General Stubblebine](#), [Commander Muga](#), [Lt. Col. Kwiatkowski](#), [Lt. Col. Latas](#), [Major Rokke](#), [Capt. Wittenberg](#), [Capt. Davis](#), [Barbara Honegger](#), [April Gallop](#), [Colonel Bunel](#), and [Steve DeChiaro](#).
- **Member:** [Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice](#) Association Statement: "Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice is a non-partisan organization consisting of independent researchers and activists engaged in uncovering the true nature of the September 11, 2001 attacks."
- **Member:** [Pilots for 9/11 Truth](#) Association Statement: "Pilots for 9/11 Truth is an organization of aviation professionals and pilots throughout the globe that have gathered together for one purpose. We are committed to seeking the truth surrounding the events of the 11th of September 2001. Our main focus concentrates on the four flights, maneuvers performed and the reported pilots. We do not offer theory or point blame. However, we are focused on determining the truth of that fateful day since the United States Government doesn't seem to be very forthcoming with answers."
- **Member:** [Scientific Panel Investigating Nine-Eleven](#) Association Statement: "We have found solid scientific grounds on which to question the interpretation put upon the events of September 11, 2001 by the Office of the President of the United States of

America and subsequently propagated by the major media of western nations."



Col. Ann Wright

Col. Ann Wright, U.S. Army (ret) – Retired Army officer and former U.S. Diplomat. Served 13 years on active duty with the U.S. Army and 16 years in the U.S. Army Reserves. She was a member of the International law team in Operation Urgent Fury in Grenada and served in Panama and Somalia. She joined the Foreign Service in 1987 and served as Deputy Chief of Mission of U.S. Embassies in Sierra Leone, Micronesia and Afghanistan. She helped reopen the US Embassy in Kabul in December, 2001. One of three U.S. State Department officials to publicly resign in direct protest of the invasion of Iraq in March, 2003.

- **Signatory of a Letter to Congress regarding the 9/11 Commission Report 9/13/04**, signed by 25 military, intelligence, and law enforcement veterans:

"[W]e the undersigned wish to bring to the attention of the Congress and the people of the United States what we believe are serious shortcomings in the report and its recommendations. ...

Omission is one of the major flaws in the Commission's report. We are aware of significant issues and cases that were duly reported to the commission by those of us with direct knowledge, but somehow escaped attention. ...

The omission of such serious and applicable issues and information by itself renders the report flawed, and casts doubt on the validity of many of its recommendations. ...

The Commission, with its incomplete report of "facts and circumstances", intentional avoidance of assigning accountability, and disregard for the knowledge, expertise and experience of those who actually do the job, has now set about pressuring our Congress and our nation to hastily implement all its recommendations. ...

We the undersigned, who have worked within various government agencies (FBI, CIA, FAA, DIA, Customs) responsible for national security and public safety, call upon you in Congress to include the voices of those with first-hand knowledge and expertise in the important issues at hand. We stand ready to do our part." [Link to signatories](#)

- **Interview with [Laurie Van Auken](#), conducted by [Richard Greene](#) on *Air America Radio* 9/11/07:** "It's incredible some of these things that still are unanswered. The 9/11 Report; that was totally inadequate. I mean the questions that anybody has after reading that. You know, like -- One of the questions, "Why would people even get in planes and come after us?" That question was not at all addressed, much less all of these very, very interesting events that were going on that day, like Laurie was talking about.

How could our national intelligence and defense operations be so inept that they could not communicate; that they could not scramble jets; that they could not take defensive action? And I totally agree. I always thought the Pentagon had all sorts of air defense sort of equipment around it; that they could take out anything that was coming at it. And for a plane to be able to

just fly low right over Washington and slam into that thing is just -- I mean, you still just shake your head. How in the world could that happen? ...

There's a very specific glide path that comes in for planes to land at [Reagan] National Airport [one mile from the Pentagon]. And you know whether it's somebody using a different transponder but even a plane any plane, a military plane with a transponder going -- that was flying that low into Washington, a big plane like that should have set off alarm bells." Occurs at about the 60% point of the two-hour recording. Audio at <http://www.911truth.org>

- **Bio:** http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ann_Wright



Col. Donn de Grand-Pre

Col. Donn de Grand-Pre, U.S. Army (ret) (1926 - 2009) – Former Director, Ground Weapons Systems, Office of International Logistics Negotiations serving as chief Pentagon arms negotiator for the Middle East. Former Civilian Deputy Chief of the International Division, Office of the Chief of Research and Development. Also served as Commander of Special Troops for the U.S. Army Reserves. Served as radio operator in World War II. Became commissioned officer in 1950. Infantry troop leader and paratrooper, as well as a combat troop commander and intelligence officer with the [27th Infantry "Wolfhound" Regiment](#) in Korea 1950 - 1953. Sustained major injuries in Korea 1953. FAA certified private pilot. Author of [Confessions of an Arms Peddler](#) (1979), [Barbarians Inside the Gates Book 1](#) (2000), [The Viper's Venom: Barbarians Inside the Gates \(Book III\)](#) (2002), [Look Homeward Cowboy: A Saga of World War II](#) (2002), [The Rattler's Revenge: Barbarians Inside the Gates \(Book III\)](#) (2003), [The Boys from Bent Willow: A Saga of World War II](#) (2003).

- **Article September 11 - U.S. Government Accused by the Portugal News 3/8/02:**

"A group of military and civilian US pilots, under the chairmanship of Colonel Donn de Grand [sic], after deliberating non-stop for 72 hours, has concluded that the flight crews of the four passenger airliners, involved in the September 11th tragedy, had no control over their aircraft.


In a detailed press communiqué the inquiry stated: "The so-called terrorist attack was in fact a superbly executed military operation carried out against the USA, requiring the utmost professional military skill in command, communications and control. It was flawless in timing, in the choice of selected aircraft to be used as guided missiles and in the coordinated delivery of those missiles to their pre-selected targets."

The report seriously questions whether or not the suspect hijackers, supposedly trained on Cessna light aircraft, could have located a target dead-on 200 miles from take off point. It further throws into doubt their ability to master the intricacies of the instrument flight rules (IFR) in the 45 minutes from take off to the point of impact. Colonel de Grand said that it would be impossible for novices to have taken control of the four aircraft and orchestrated such a terrible act requiring military precision of the highest order." <http://www.amfirstbooks.com>

- **Member:** [Veterans For 9/11 Truth](#) Association statement: "Our mission, simply put, is to save the United States of

America and to defend it from all enemies, foreign and domestic. To that end, we seek to restore true values, integrity, honesty, and leadership in government at the local, state and national level. We are opposed to tyranny and corruption. We seek to move this Nation from a state of perpetual warfare to a state of prolonged peace and prosperity.

In pursuit of our mission we have initially chosen to present evidence exposing the deception and lies that many in the military/intelligence/industrial/media establishment have been telling Americans and the world since September 11, 2001 concerning the events of that tragic day. Our mission includes seeking, discovering, and presenting evidence revealing the truth about the events of 9/11." <http://www.v911t.org>



Lt. Col. Shelton F. Lankford

Lt. Col. Shelton F. Lankford, U.S. Marine Corps (ret) – Retired U.S. Marine Corps fighter pilot with over 300 combat missions flown. Decorations include the Distinguished Flying Cross and 32 awards of the Air Medal. Aircraft flown: Douglas A-4 Skyhawk, Lockheed C-130H Hercules. 10,000+ total hours flown. 20-year Marine Corps career.

- **Article *Twenty-five U.S. Military Officers Challenge Official Account of 9/11* 1/14/08:**

"September 11, 2001 seems destined to be the watershed event of our lives and the greatest test for our democracy in our lifetimes. The evidence of government complicity in the lead-up to the events, the failure to respond during the event, and the astounding lack of any meaningful investigation afterwards, as well as the ignoring of evidence turned up by others that renders the official explanation impossible, may signal the end of the American experiment. It has been used to justify all manners of measures to legalize repression at home and as a pretext for behaving as an aggressive empire abroad. Until we demand an independent, honest, and thorough investigation and accountability for those whose action and inaction led to those events and the cover-up, our republic and our Constitution remain in the gravest danger."

<http://www.opednews.com>

- **Statement to this website 2/20/07:**

"This isn't about party, it isn't about Bush Bashing. It's about our country, our constitution, and our future. ...

Your countrymen have been murdered and the more you delve into it the more it looks as though they were murdered by our government, who used it as an excuse to murder other people thousands of miles away.

If you ridicule others who have sincere doubts and who know factual information that directly contradicts the official report and who want explanations from those who hold the keys to our government, and have motive, means, and opportunity to pull off a 9/11, but you are too lazy or fearful, or ... to check into the facts yourself, what does that make you? [Scholars for 9/11 truth](#) have developed reams of scientific data. [Michael Ruppert](#) published an exhaustive account of the case from the viewpoint of a trained investigator. [David Ray Griffin](#) provides a context for the unanswered or badly answered questions that should nag at anyone who pretends to love this country.

Are you afraid that you will learn the truth and you can't handle it? ...

Do a little research. Google is a wonderful tool.

What does it all add up to? The Commission was, as was the Warren Commission before it, a dog and pony show ... " [Link to full statement](#)

- **Member:** [Pilots for 9/11 Truth](#) Association Statement: "Pilots for 9/11 Truth is an organization of aviation professionals and pilots throughout the globe that have gathered together for one purpose. We are committed to seeking the truth surrounding the events of the 11th of September 2001. Our main focus concentrates on the four flights, maneuvers performed and the reported pilots. We do not offer theory or point blame. However, we are focused on determining the truth of that fateful day since the United States Government doesn't seem to be very forthcoming with answers."



Lt. Col. Karen Kwiatkowski, PhD

Lt. Col. Karen U. Kwiatkowski, PhD, U.S. Air Force (ret) – Former Political-Military Affairs Officer in the Office of the Secretary of Defense. Also served on the staff of the Director of the National Security Agency. 20-year Air Force career. Member adjunct faculty, Political Science Department, James Madison University. Instructor, University of Maryland University College and American Public University System. Author of *African Crisis Response Initiative: Past Present and Future* (2000) and *Expeditionary Air Operations in Africa: Challenges and Solutions* (2001).

- **Contributor to [9/11 and American Empire: Intellectuals Speak Out](#) 8/23/06:** Account of Lt. Col. Karen Kwiatkowski, Pentagon employee and eyewitness to the events at the Pentagon on 9/11. "I believe the Commission failed to deeply examine the topic at hand, failed to apply scientific rigor to its assessment of events leading up to and including 9/11, failed to produce a believable and unbiased summary of what happened, failed to fully examine why it happened, and even failed to include a set of unanswered questions for future research. ...

It is as a scientist that I have the most trouble with the official government conspiracy theory, mainly because it does not satisfy the rules of probability or physics. The collapses of the World Trade Center buildings clearly violate the laws of probability and physics. ...

There was a dearth of visible debris on the relatively unmarked [Pentagon] lawn, where I stood only minutes after the impact. Beyond this strange absence of airliner debris, there was no sign of the kind of damage to the Pentagon structure one would expect from the impact of a large airliner. This visible evidence or lack thereof may also have been apparent to the secretary of defense [Donald Rumsfeld], who in an unfortunate slip of the tongue [referred to the aircraft that slammed into the Pentagon as a "missile"](#). ...

I saw nothing of significance at the point of impact - no airplane metal or cargo debris was blowing on the lawn in front of the damaged building as smoke billowed from within the Pentagon. ... all of us staring at the Pentagon that morning were indeed

looking for such debris, but what we expected to see was not evident.

The same is true with regard to the kind of damage we expected. ... But I did not see this kind of damage. Rather, the facade had a rather small hole, no larger than 20 feet in diameter. Although this facade later collapsed, it remained standing for 30 or 40 minutes, with the roof line remaining relatively straight.

The scene, in short, was not what I would have expected from a strike by a large jetliner. It was, however, exactly what one would expect if a missile had struck the Pentagon. ...

More information is certainly needed regarding the events of 9/11 and the events leading up to that terrible day."

- **Editor's note:** For more information on the impact at the Pentagon, see [General Stubblebine](#), [Colonel Nelson](#), [Commander Muga](#), [Lt. Col. Latas](#), [Major Rokke](#), [Capt. Wittenberg](#), [Capt. Davis](#), [Barbara Honegger](#), [April Gallop](#), [Colonel Bunel](#), and [Steve DeChiaro](#).
- **Member:** [Scientific Panel Investigating Nine-Eleven](#) Association Statement: "We have found solid scientific grounds on which to question the interpretation put upon the events of September 11, 2001 by the Office of the President of the United States of America and subsequently propagated by the major media of western nations."
- **Bio:** <http://militaryweek.com/>



Lt. Col. Guy S. Razer, MS

Lt. Col. Guy S. Razer, MS Aeronautical Science, U.S. Air Force (ret) – Retired U.S. Air Force command fighter pilot. Former instructor; U.S. Air Force Fighter Weapons School and NATO's Tactical Leadership Program. As an Air Force weapons effects expert was responsible for wartime tasking of most appropriate aircraft/munition for target destruction to include steel and concrete superstructures. Former aeronautical structures flight test engineer with McDonnell Douglas, working on advanced DC-9 autopilot systems and DC-10 flight envelope expansion stress and flutter analysis. Tactical aircraft flown: General Dynamics F-111 Aardvark fighter/bomber, McDonnell Douglas F-15E Strike Eagle, General Dynamics / Lockheed Martin F-16 Fighting Falcon, McDonnell Douglas F-18 Hornet, Boeing B-1 Bomber, MiG-29 (Russian fighter), and Su-22 (Russian fighter/bomber). 3,000+ fighter hours. Combat time over Iraq. 20-year Air Force career.

- **Statement to this website 3/25/07:** "After 4+ years of research since retirement in 2002, I am 100% convinced that the attacks of September 11, 2001 were planned, organized, and committed by treasonous perpetrators that have infiltrated the highest levels of our government. It is now time to take our country back.

The ["collapse" of WTC Building 7](#) shows beyond any doubt that the demolitions were pre-planned. There is simply no way to demolish a 47-story building (on fire) over a coffee break. It is also impossible to report the building's collapse before it happened, [as BBC News did](#), unless it was pre-planned. Further damning evidence is [Larry Silverstein's video taped](#)

[confession](#) in which he states "they made that decision to pull [WTC 7] and we watched the building collapse." [Editor's note: WTC Building 7 was 610 feet tall, 47 stories. It would have been the tallest building in 33 states. Although it was not hit by an airplane, it completely collapsed into a pile of rubble in less than 7 seconds at 5:20 p.m. on 9/11, seven hours after the collapses of the Twin Towers. However, no mention of its collapse appears in the 9/11 Commission's *"full and complete account of the circumstances surrounding the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks."* Watch the collapse video [here](#). And six years after 9/11, the Federal government has yet to publish its promised final report that explains the cause of its collapse.]

We cannot let the pursuit of justice fail. Those of us in the military took an oath to "support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic". Just because we have retired does not make that oath invalid, so it is not just our responsibility, it is our duty to expose the real perpetrators of 9/11 and bring them to justice, no matter how hard it is, how long it takes, or how much we have to suffer to do it.

We owe it to those who have gone before us who executed that same oath, and who are doing the same thing in Iraq and Afghanistan right now. Those of us who joined the military and faithfully executed orders that were given us had to trust our leaders. The violation and abuse of that trust is not only heinous, but ultimately the most accurate definition of treason!"

- **Member:** [Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice](#) Association Statement: "Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice is a non-partisan organization consisting of independent researchers and activists engaged in uncovering the true nature of the September 11, 2001 attacks."
- **Member:** [Pilots for 9/11 Truth](#) Association Statement: "Pilots for 9/11 Truth is an organization of aviation professionals and pilots throughout the globe that have gathered together for one purpose. We are committed to seeking the truth surrounding the events of the 11th of September 2001. Our main focus concentrates on the four flights, maneuvers performed and the reported pilots. We do not offer theory or point blame. However, we are focused on determining the truth of that fateful day since the United States Government doesn't seem to be very forthcoming with answers."
- **Signatory: Petition requesting a reinvestigation of 9/11, signed by more than 1,500 Architects and Engineers:**
 "On Behalf of the People of the United States of America, the undersigned Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth and affiliates hereby petition for, and demand, a truly independent investigation with subpoena power in order to uncover the full truth surrounding the events of 9/11/01 - specifically the collapse of the World Trade Center Towers and Building 7. We believe that there is sufficient doubt about the official story and therefore that the 9/11 investigation must be re-opened and must include a full inquiry into the possible use of explosives that may have been the actual cause behind the destruction of the World Trade Center Towers and WTC Building 7."
<http://www.ae911truth.org/joinus.php>
- **Website:** <http://www.myspace.com/supereagle69>

A PERSONAL NOTE ABOUT THIS WEBSITE

I believed the official explanation of 9/11 for four and one-half years. During that time, I remember becoming angry at news stories about people who challenged that explanation. However, in the Spring of 2006, I saw the documentary, [Loose Change](#), on the Internet. Although not an entirely objective documentary, it raised many serious and disturbing questions about the events of 9/11 that I had previously simply accepted without much critical thought.

And so I began a several month period of researching the events of 9/11. I found a great deal of material challenging the 9/11 Commission Report on websites like [911truth.org](#), [physics911.net](#), [Scholars for 9/11 Truth](#) and many others. I attended a lecture by [David Ray Griffin](#). I learned much about what has become known as the 9/11 Truth Movement.

Much of the information and most of the rather limited media coverage about the 9/11 Truth Movement focuses on a handful of college professors, such as: [David Ray Griffin](#), [Steven Jones](#), [James Fetzer](#), [Kevin Barrett](#), [William Woodward](#), and [A.K. Dewdney](#). In August 2006, I began searching for statements about 9/11 by senior U.S. military officers, intelligence services and law enforcement veterans, and government officials. Because of their experience in intelligence gathering, espionage, terrorism, and covert military operations, I felt their opinions about 9/11 would be valuable. I was surprised by the amount of their criticism of the official account of 9/11 that I found scattered around the Internet. I had learned of virtually none of this criticism through newspapers, television, or radio news sources.

I feel this criticism by experienced professionals is extremely important and that it has been seriously under-reported. This website was launched in September 2006 in an effort to provide an easily accessible reference collection of their public statements.

I continued my research and found that many more than just a handful of professors had publicly questioned the official account of 9/11. However most had received negligible media coverage. In January 2007, a new section of the website was launched that featured statements by over 100 professors.

Since then, the following sections have been added to the website: Professors; Engineers and Architects; Pilots and Aviation and Aerospace Professionals; 9/11 Survivors and Family Members; Artists, Entertainers, and Media Professionals; and, most recently, in 2010, Medical Professionals. I strongly desire to increase this collection of statements by responsible individuals who question the official account of 9/11. If you are a member of one of these groups, or if you are aware of any similar statements made by members of these groups, I would greatly appreciate knowing about them. Please contact me at alan.miller (at) PatriotsQuestion911 (dot) com. Additionally, if you find any errors in this material, please let me know.

I don't claim to know what really happened on 9/11. I cannot examine the facts of the matter first hand. And I don't have the experience to know what's possible and what is unlikely regarding terrorist activities or military operations. For that analysis, I need to rely on experts. Many of the government employees have spent large portions of their careers studying espionage, terrorism, and military operations throughout the world and have personally planned and carried out United States' military and intelligence activities. Nor am I an architect, structural engineer, or commercial or military pilot. Their expressions of concern about the official account of 9/11 are also extremely important. I applaud the integrity and courage of all of the individuals listed on the website for publicly supporting the search for the truth about 9/11 in spite of opposition by our government and lack of support in the mainstream news media.

My objective, at this point, is to have our government launch a new, extremely

thorough, independent, and totally impartial re-examination of the terrible acts of 9/11 and the events leading up to them. In my opinion, and in the opinion of the above listed experienced individuals, the current 9/11 Commission Report is seriously inadequate in explaining what really happened.

I also want to acknowledge that virtually none of the information on this website is the result of any original investigation on my part. I have merely researched and compiled public information available on the Internet. I want to thank those in the 9/11 Truth Movement for their years of effort since 9/11 in conducting a great deal of research and for keeping the Movement alive in the face of both ridicule and indifference.

DEMAND A NEW 9/11 INVESTIGATION!

9/11 is the most important event in American history since December 7, 1941, when Japanese forces attacked Pearl Harbor. The magnitude of the impact of 9/11 on America and the rest of the world cannot be overstated. The terrible acts of 9/11 and the events leading up to them deserve a thorough, independent and unimpeachable investigation. And if some rogue element within the U.S. government is responsible for the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on 9/11, as several of these individuals allege, it is critical that that be disclosed and forcefully dealt with.

For the sake of those who died on 9/11, their families, the American people, and for the sake of peace in the world, please continue to seek the truth about 9/11. Demand a thorough and impartial reinvestigation of 9/11.

- Write your elected representatives in Congress. <http://www.congress.org/congressorg/directory/congdir.tt>
- Write your local television stations, radio stations and newspapers. <http://www.congress.org/congressorg/dbq/media>
- Write to national tv and radio networks, newspapers, and magazines. <http://www.fair.org/index.php?page=111>

If you are a member of any of the categories of people shown on this website and have concerns about the 9/11 Commission Report and would like your comment added to the site, please contact me. alan.miller (at) PatriotsQuestion911 (dot) com

Fair Use Notice: This website contains copyrighted material, the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. This material is being made available in an effort to advance understanding of issues and facts related to and in some cases contradictory to the official account of the events of 9/11. This constitutes a "fair use" of such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the information for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond "fair use", you must obtain permission from the original copyright owner.

Lastly, I'd like to share with you some pertinent quotes from Teddy Roosevelt and Mark Twain.

"Patriotism means to stand by the country. It does not mean to stand by the president or any other public official save exactly to the degree in which he himself stands by the country. It is patriotic to support him insofar as he efficiently serves the country. It is unpatriotic not to oppose him to the exact extent that by inefficiency or otherwise he fails in his duty to stand by the country." – Teddy Roosevelt

"To announce that there must be no criticism of the President, or that we are

to stand by the President, right or wrong, is not only unpatriotic and servile, but is morally treasonable to the American public." – **Teddy Roosevelt**

"Patriotism is supporting your country all the time, and your government when it deserves it." – **Mark Twain**

"In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave, and hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot." – **Mark Twain**

Sincerely,

Alan Miller

Email: alan.miller (at) PatriotsQuestion911 (dot) com

CREDIBLE CRITICS OF THE OFFICIAL ACCOUNT OF 9/11

May 18, 2009: 41 U.S. Counter-Terrorism and Intelligence Agency Veterans Challenge the Official Account of 9/11 – Official Account of 9/11: "Terribly Flawed," "Laced with Contradictions," "a Joke," "a Cover-up"

[English PDF](#)

[English HTML](#)

[Danish PDF](#)

[French PDF](#)

[German PDF](#)

[Greek PDF](#)

[Norwegian PDF](#)

[Polish PDF](#)

[Swedish PDF](#)

Summary: More than 40 U.S. Counter-Terrorism and Intelligence Agency veterans have severely criticized the official account of 9/11 and most have called for a new investigation. Veterans from the U.S. State Department, the National Security Agency, the Central Intelligence Agency, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Federal Aviation Administration, U.S. Customs and Border Protection and the branches of the U.S. Military are quoted.

June 17, 2009: 29 Structural & Civil Engineers Cite Evidence for Controlled Explosive Demolition in Collapses of All 3 WTC High-Rises on 9/11 – more than 1,000 Architects and Engineers have joined call for new investigation, faulting official collapse reports

[PDF at Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth](#)

Feb. 24, 2009: Respected Medical Professionals Launch New 9/11 Truth Group – Announces Online Petition Calling for New Investigation

[MS Word](#)

[Article on OpEdNews](#)

Summary: In response to growing concerns about omissions, distortions, and contradictions in the official account of 9/11, an alliance of doctors, dentists, nurses, therapists, researchers, and other medical professionals has announced the formation of a new 9/11 Truth group. Calling themselves Medical Professionals for 9/11 Truth, the group has launched an online petition calling for a new investigation into the events of 9/11, which can be seen on the group's website at <http://MP911Truth.org>

Jan. 14, 2008: Twenty-five U.S. Military Officers Challenge Official Account of 9/11 – Official Account of 9/11 "Impossible", "A Bunch of Hogwash", "Total B.S.", "Ludicrous", "A Well-Organized Cover-up", "A White-Washed Farce"

[PDF Version](#)

[Article on OpEdNews](#)

Summary: Twenty-five U.S. military officers condemn the official account of 9/11. The article details severe criticism of the official account of 9/11 by: **General Albert Stubblebine**, former commander of U.S. Army Intelligence, **Col. Ronald D. Ray**, former Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense, **Major John M. Newman, PhD**, former Executive Assistant to the Director of the National Security Agency, **Lt. Col. Karen Kwiatkowski, PhD**, former staff member of the Director of the National Security Agency, **Lt. Col. Robert Bowman, PhD**, Director of Advanced Space Programs Development under Presidents Gerald Ford and Jimmy Carter, **and 20 other officers**.

Jan. 5, 2008: *Eight U.S. State Department Veterans Challenge the Official Account of 9/11*
Official Account of 9/11 "Flawed", "Absurd", "Totally Inadequate", "a Cover-up"

[PDF Version](#) [Article on OpEdNews](#)

Summary: Eight U.S. State Department veterans denounce the official account of 9/11 as "flawed", "absurd", "totally inadequate", "a cover-up", "a failure" filled with "numerous inconsistencies" and call for a new 9/11 investigation.

These State Department veterans served in the Federal government for decades. They were responsible for formulating and implementing U.S. foreign policy. Their statements demonstrate that the desire for a new, thorough, and independent investigation of 9/11 is not a matter of partisan politics, nor the demand of irresponsible, deranged, or disloyal Americans. It is instead a matter of the utmost importance for America's security and the future of the entire world. We must not now ignore their stunning condemnation of the official account of 9/11.

Dec. 13, 2007: *Seven Senior Federal Engineers and Scientists Call for New 9/11 Investigation – Official Account of 9/11 "Impossible", "Hogwash", "Fatally Flawed"*

[PDF Version](#) [Article on OpEdNews](#)

Summary: Seven senior Federal engineers and scientists call for a new 9/11 investigation and denounce the official account of 9/11 as: "impossible", "hogwash", "fatally flawed", "false", "does not match the available facts", and "politically driven".

These senior engineers and scientists served in the Federal government for decades. Their primary functions were the responsible application of technology and the pursuit of scientific truth. Their statements demonstrate that the desire for a new, thorough, and independent investigation of 9/11 is not a matter of partisan politics, nor the demand of irresponsible, deranged, or disloyal Americans. It is instead a matter of the utmost importance for America's security and the future of the entire world. We must not now ignore their stunning condemnation of the official account of 9/11.

Dec. 4, 2007: *Eight Senior Republican Appointees Challenge Official Account of 9/11*
Official Account of 9/11 "Not Possible", "a Whitewash", "False"

[PDF Version](#) [Article on OpEdNews](#)

Summary: Eight senior Republican appointees denounce the official account of 9/11 as: "an inside job", "not possible", "operationally infeasible", "false", "a whitewash", and "does not conform to the facts".

These individuals were carefully selected and appointed to important positions in Republican administrations from President Richard Nixon to current President George W. Bush. Their statements demonstrate that the desire for a new, thorough, and independent investigation of 9/11 is not a matter of partisan politics, nor the demand of irresponsible, deranged, or disloyal Americans. It is instead a matter of the utmost importance for America's security and the future

of the entire world. We must not now ignore their stunning condemnation of the official account of 9/11.

Sep. 23, 2007: Seven CIA Veterans Challenge 9/11 Commission Report

Official Account of 9/11 a "Joke" and a "Cover-up"

[PDF Version](#) [Article on OpEdNews](#)

Summary: Seven CIA veterans denounce the official account of 9/11 as: "a joke", "a coverup", "a monstrous series of lies", "a pretext for war", "not a serious piece of analysis", riddled with "serious shortcomings," "omissions," and "major flaws."

These individuals played significant roles in our national security apparatus from the 1960's through the 1990's. In particular, Raymond McGovern, William Christison, and Melvin Goodman, served at the highest levels of the CIA and each for more than 25 years. We relied on them for decades to collect information and provide analysis that were critically important to America's national security, during a time that America faced far more real and much more serious external threats than anything we face today. We must not now ignore their stunning condemnation of the official account of 9/11.

Sep. 5, 2007: Former Congressional Office of Technology Assessment Senior Staff Member Calls for New Investigation of 9/11

[PDF Version](#) [Article on OpEdNews](#)

Summary: Joel S. Hirschhorn, Ph.D., who served for 12 years as a Senior Staff Member of the Congressional Office of Technology Assessment and later as Director of Environment, Energy and Natural Resources for the National Governors Association, called for a new investigation of 9/11, saying "First, let the technical truth emerge. Then, if necessary, cope with the inevitable political, conspiracy and other questions."

Sep. 5, 2007: U.S. Navy 'Top Gun' Pilot Questions 9/11

[PDF Version](#) [Article on OpEdNews](#)

Summary: Commander Ralph Kolstad, U.S. Navy 'Top Gun' pilot, questioned the official account of 9/11 and called for a new investigation. "When one starts using his own mind, and not what one was told, there is very little to believe in the official story."

Aug. 27, 2007: National Academy of Sciences Member Calls for New 9/11 Investigation

Official Explanation a "Fraud"

[PDF Version](#) [Article on OpEdNews](#)

Summary: Lynn Margulis, Ph.D., member of the National Academy of Sciences and world renowned scientist, characterized the official account of 9/11 as "a fraud" and called for a new investigation, "I suggest that those of us aware and concerned demand that the glaringly erroneous official account of 9/11 be dismissed as a fraud and a new, thorough, and impartial investigation be undertaken."

Aug. 21, 2007: Former Chief of NIST's Fire Science Division Calls for Independent Review of World Trade Center Investigation

[PDF Version](#) [Article on OpEdNews](#)

Summary: James Quintiere, Ph.D., former Chief of NIST's Fire Science Division, called for an independent review of the World Trade Center Twin Tower collapse investigation. "I wish that there would be a peer review of this," he said, referring to the NIST investigation. "I think all the records that NIST has assembled should be archived. I would really like to see someone else take a look at what they've done; both structurally and from a fire point of view. ... I think the official conclusion that NIST arrived at is questionable."

July 16, 2007: Former California Seismic Safety Commissioner Endorses 9/11 Truth Movement
Prominent Engineer Calls for a New Investigation of 9/11

[PDF Version](#) [Article on OpEdNews](#)

Summary: J. Marx Ayres, MS, former member of the National Institute of Sciences Building Safety Council and former member of the California Seismic Safety Commission called for a new investigation of 9/11, "Steven Jones' call for a serious investigation of the hypothesis that the WTC 7 and the Twin Towers were brought down, not just by impact damage and fire, but through the use of pre-positioned 'cutter-charges' must be the rallying cry for all building design experts to speak out."

LINKS TO 9/11 RESOURCES

A wealth of information about 9/11 is available on the Internet and in books and videos. I cannot list them all. However, the following links are good places to begin looking for information.

9/11 Truth Movement Sites	9/11 Truth Videos	9/11 Commission Report and Defenders	9/11 Families
911Truth.org	9/11: Press for Truth	9-11Commission.gov	WTC United Family Group
Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth	Loose Change	National Institute of Standards and Technology	Families of September 11
Firefighters for 9/11 Truth	9/11 Mysteries	Popular Mechanics	September 11th Families Association
Lawyers for 9/11 Truth	David Ray Griffin's 9/11 The Myth and the Reality		Peaceful Tomorrows
Medical Professionals for 9/11 Truth	9-11 Ripple Effect		
Muslim-Jewish-Christian Alliance for 9/11 Truth	Painful Deceptions		
Pilots for 9/11 Truth	September 11 Revisited		
Political Leaders for 9/11 Truth	911 Eyewitness		
Religious Leaders for 9/11 Truth	Improbable Collapse		
Scholars for 9/11 Truth	Zero: An Investigation Into 9/11		
Scholars for 9/11 Truth & Justice	9/11: Blueprint for Truth		

Scientists for 9/11 Truth	<i>National Security Alert</i>		
Actors and Artists for 9/11 Truth			
Scientific Panel Investigating 9/11			
Veterans for 9/11 Truth			
Complete 9/11 Timeline			
9/11Blogger.com			
9/11 Information Center			
Killtown			
9/11Proof.com			
9/11 Forum - UK			
ReOpen911.info - France			

9/11 Truth Petitions

[Architects and Engineers Petition for a New 9/11 Investigation](#)

[Firefighters for 9/11 Truth Petition](#)

[Lawyers for 9/11 Truth](#)

[Medical Professionals for 9/11 Truth Petition for a New 9/11 Investigation](#)

[Political Leaders for 9/11 Truth Petition for a New 9/11 Investigation](#)

[Religious Leaders for 9/11 Truth Petition for a New 9/11 Investigation](#)

[Scientists for 9/11 Truth Petition for a New 9/11 Investigation](#)

[Actors and Artists for 9/11 Truth Petition for a New 9/11 Investigation](#)

[War Is Illegal Petition](#)

[New York City Ballot Initiative for a New 9/11 Investigation](#)

[9/11: Breaking the Wall of Silence](#) (11 Settembre 2001: Rompere il muro del silenzio)

[Demand that the Canadian Government Investigate 9/11](#)

[Prominent Americans and 9/11 Family Members for a New 9/11 Investigation](#)

[Petition for the Release of CIA Documents Pertaining to 9/11](#)

[Petition For An Independent International Truth Commission On 9/11](#)

[Justice for 9/11 Petition for a New 9/11 Investigation](#)

[Citizens Request for the FBI to Review 9-11](#)

[Scholars Petition for Release of 9/11 Information](#)